

Fire Safe and Healthy Building Insulation

Joe Charbonnet

Green Science Policy Institute

&

UC Berkeley



Building Insulation Standards

- All insulation must pass ASTM E84: Steiner tunnel test
- Not appropriate for "materials that melt, drip, or delaminate"
 - like foamed plastic
- Foam plastics requires flame retardant chemicals to pass



Studies: Flame retardants do not provide a significant fire safety benefit behind a thermal barrier.

FIRE AND MATERIALS, VOL. 11

A Model for 1 Gypsum-Boar

J. R. Mehaffey, P. Cuerni
Forintek Canada Corp., 2665

To facilitate the developm
the fire resistance of wood-
protective membrane con
heat transfer through gyf
gypsum board were me
literature. Small- and f
to provide data for the
rather well.

INTRODUCTION

To inhibit the spread c
collapse, building codes
structural elements in 1
ratings exceeding presc
practice for demonstrat
plies with these requir
simple calculation met
ratings are also per
models offer an ever
alternative.

This paper summa
a simple two-dimens
ance of wood-stud
Model developer
point that predict
onset of charring c
the assembly (du
agreement with 1
resistance tests.
Plans to intr
wood studs and
couple the me
additional fire
ning of joints :

ABSTRACT

Increasing
buildings of
wall cavity
been restr
adopted f
ventions
asses 1
walls.

INT GYPSUM F

1 Fire perfor

As a first st
fire resista
bly it was
transfer 1
Gypsum
combust

COMBUSTIBILITY INSULATION IN

K. K. Choi
Division of Building Research
National Research Council of
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0R6

W. Taylor
Esso Chemical Canada
2300 Yonge St.
Toronto, Ontario
M5W 1K3

(Received February 24, 1
(Revised June 21, 1984)

TECHNICAL NOTE

Finish Ratings

Joseph B. Zicherman and /
IFT/Fire Cause Analysis,

Abstract

The term "finish rating" is u
the required performance
nonmetallic tubing. Finish
taining combustible woo
assemblies with noncom
data has been available
plement the available i
tions finished with str
structured with metal 1
ratings of 15 minut

Introduction

In 1987, NFPA
erenced the co
able electric
tinely instal
steel fram
ed constru
clear that
on wood
finish r
been 1

To
sona
cal
pro
data

used combina...

This technical note re...
the data developed from such te...
"finish rating," an additional objective of...
and history underlying the term.

Keywords: electrical nonmetallic tubing, ENT, finish rating, gypsum wallboard, steel studs, wood s...

Hom

BRI BUILDING RESEARCH & INFORMATION
INFORMATION PAPER

Flame retardants in building insulation: a case for re-evaluating building codes

Vytienis Babrauskas¹, Donald Lucas², David Eisenberg³, Veena Single⁴,
Arlene Greengrass⁵, and Michèle Dedeo⁶

¹Fire Science & Technology Inc., 9000 - 300th Place SE, Issaquah, WA 98027, US
E-mail: vytyen@doctordfire.com
²Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, PO Box 27803, Tucson, AZ 85726-7813, US
E-mail: arlene@greengrasscepol.org
³Development Center for Appropriate Technology, PO Box 27803, Tucson, AZ 85726-7813, US
E-mail: arlene@greengrasscepol.org
⁴Green Science Policy Institute, PO Box 6455, Berkeley, CA 94705, US
E-mail: veena@greengrasscepol.org
⁵Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, US
E-mail: arlene@greengrasscepol.org

US building codes balance the consideration of hazards to public safety, health and general welfare. Current codes require foam plastic insulation materials to have both protection by a thermal barrier and compliance with Steiner Tunnel test requirements. The Steiner Tunnel test does not give reliable fire safety results for foam plastic insulation. Flame demonstrations that the Steiner Tunnel test still pose a fire hazard if used without a code-mandated thermal barrier. Insulation protected by a thermal barrier are fire safe and the use of flame retardant does not provide any additional benefit. Evidence is examined of the health and environmental impacts from the added flame-retardant chemicals. Changing the building codes could prevent health and environmental harm from the added flame-retardant chemicals without a reduction in fire safety. Plastic foam insulations that are protected by a thermal barrier should be exempted from the Steiner Tunnel test and the need to use flame retardants. This change would align US codes with code regulations in Sweden and Norway and ensure the fire safety as well as improve health and environmental impacts.

Les codes de construction américains prennent en compte de manière équilibrée les dangers pour la sécurité publique, la santé et le bien-être général. Les codes actuels exigent que les matériaux d'isolation en mousse de plastique possèdent à la fois une protection assurée par une barrière thermique et une conformité aux exigences des tests en tunnel Steiner. Il est démontré que l'examen en tunnel Steiner ne donne pas de résultats fiables en matière de sécurité incendie concernant les isolations en mousse de plastique. Les mousses qui satisfont à cet examen présentent encore un risque incendie comparable à celui d'isolations sans une barrière thermique présente par un code. Les isolations protégées par une barrière thermique sont exemptées de l'utilisation de retardateurs de flamme, d'où une amélioration de la sécurité incendie, de la santé et de l'environnement.

Building Insulation & Fire Safety



- FR-free EPS/XPS widely used in Europe
- Tons of FR-free foams for food service are produced, shipped, stored and used daily
- Flame retardants produce smokier, more toxic fires which may be harder to escape from

Flame Retardant Harm

- Insulation flame retardants cause:
 - elevated cancer risk
 - developmental/reproductive harm
 - hormone disruption
- Others unstudied for health harm but related to harmful chemicals
- Organohalogen FR combustion by-products: halogenated dioxins and furans
 - associated with types of cancer which disproportionately affect firefighters



State Fire Marshal Study

California State Fire Marshal study on FR-free polystyrene insulation beneath slab:

- No risk of fire spread to the building and or to occupants or first responders.
- FRs in insulation do not significantly reduce its fire intensity.
- Building codes can be safely updated to allow use of this insulation.

Phase II Working Group Report
August 17, 2017

Flammability Standards for Building Insulation Materials

Phase II Working Group Report:

Final Report

August 17, 2017

Prepared for

California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE)

By

Oklahoma State University

Fire Protection & Safety Engineering Technology Program

Stillwater, OK

California Code Reform

California State Fire Marshal proposes building code reforms that to allowing choice of FR-free insulation beneath slab



Jan 2019: CBSC unanimously adopts changes CRC and CBC to allow flame retardant-free insulation below a slab

RB131-19

Allows the choice of flame retardant-free EPS and XPS insulation beneath a concrete slab on grade

➤ Aligns IRC and CRC

Committee disapproval: marking, potential misuse or inspection burden.

Public Comment RICH-2:

- Marking language simplified and aligned with industry standards
- Red stripes clearly designate material for inspection and proper use



RB131-19

- When there is no fire safety benefit, there is no rationale for requiring the use of flame retardants
- Voluntary change: allows builders the **choice** to use flame retardant-free EPS and XPS insulation
- Clear marking will facilitate inspection and proper use

Supporters:



For more information

- GreenSciencePolicy.org
- SixClasses.org

